

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,589	09/04/2001	Takayuki Norimatsu	Q66012	1652	
75	590 11/05/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			JOYCE, WI	JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
Washington, D	ania Avenue, N.W. OC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2 ,			3682		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisor	Advisory Action	09/944,589	NORIMATSU, TAKAYUKI			
71011001		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William C. Joyce	3682			
The MAILING DAT	E of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
b) The period for reply expine event, however, will the son the Control of the Co	statutory period for reply expire later th DX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amend	Iment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not dee issues for appear		in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has	overcome the following reject	ction(s): See Continuation Sheet	Ļ.			
 Newly proposed or all canceling the non-all 	• • • — —	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
	\square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request fo on for allowance because: \underline{se}		sidered but does NOT place the			
	oit will NOT be considered be ner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
		t(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel				
The status of the clai	m(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-						
· · · ·	rom consideration:					
• •		a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.			
		ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•			
10. Other:						
		WILLIAM C PRIMARY E	JOYCE XAMINER			

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The claim rejection based on 35 USC 103 has been withdrawn based on the remarks found on pages 8-9 of the amendment.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims stand rejected based on 35 USC 112 first paragraph, because it is not entirely clear applicant had possession of the claimed device at the time the application was filed. The instant disclosure defines an encoder formed as an elastic member made of a base material mixed with a powder of magnetic material, wherein the claimed single pitch deviation and the claimed magnetic flux density of the encoder is obtained by selecting a material for the base rubber material, a material for the powder of the magnatic material, and the mixing ratio thereof. It is not entirely clear applicant had possession of the claimed device because the disclosure fails to clearly identify a specific example of materials and mixing ratios thereof in forming the encoder so as to obtain the claimed single pitch deviation and magnetic flux density. Accordingly, one in the art could not produce the claimed device without undue experimentation.

Examiner notes that the encoder can be made of a heat resistant nitrile rubber, acrylic rubber, or fluorine containing rubber, mixed with a powder of ferrite (see first full paragraph of page 11 of the disclosure), however applicant must provide a specific example of materials and mixing ratios thereof such that one in the art could produce the claimed device without undue experimentation. The mere suggestion that an encoder can be formed with the claimed properties by mixing a number of recited materials is not sufficient because, for example, each combination of materials used in making the encoder may have a specific mixing ratio which may be difficult to reproduce by one in the art. Since applicant has not clearly disclosed the mixing ratio and materials needed in obtaining the claimed encoder, it would be difficult for one in the art to make the claimed encoder member without undue experimentation.